Client Alert April 25, 2016

New Executive Compensation Rule At-A-Glance

On April 21, 2016, the National Credit Union Administration approved a proposed rule on incentive compensation under Section 956 of the Dodd-Frank Act. The other appropriate Federal regulators, as defined in Section 956, are expected to follow suit shortly. Following is a chart of the key provisions of the proposal. Comments are due July 22, 2016. This chart summarizes the proposed rules required under Dodd-Frank regarding incentive compensation at certain "covered financial institutions" (Level 1, Level 2 and Level 3):

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
"Covered financial	Include (1) banks and bank holding companies, (2) broker-dealers, (3) investment advisers and		
institutions"	(4) credit unions, each with \$1 billion or more in assets.		
Average total consolidated	Greater than or equal to	Greater than or equal to	Greater than or equal to
assets held	\$250 billion.	\$50 billion and less than	\$1 billion and less than
		\$250 billion.	\$50 billion.
"Senior executive officer"	A covered person who holds the title or, without regard to title, salary, or compensation, performs		
		e following positions at a credit un	
	relevant performance period: president, chief executive officer, executive chairman, chief operating		
	officer, chief financial officer, chief investment officer, chief legal officer, chief lending officer, chief		
	risk officer, chief compliance officer, chief audit executive, chief credit officer, chief accounting		
	officer, or head of a major business line or control function.		
"Significant risk-taker"	Non-senior executive officer	Non-senior executive officer	N/A
	whose compensation for the	whose compensation for the	
	last calendar year was at least	last calendar year was at least	
	one-third incentive-based	one-third incentive-based	
	compensation and: (1) had the	compensation and: (1) had the	
	highest 5% in annual base	highest 2% in annual base	
	salary and incentive-based	salary and incentive-based	
	compensation among all	compensation among all	
	covered persons (excluding	covered persons (excluding	
	senior executive officers); or	senior executive officers); or	

	(2) who may commit or expose	(2) who may commit or expose	
	0.5% or more of the net	0.5% or more of the net	
	worth or total capital of	worth or total capital of	
	the institution.	the institution.	
General requirement	Cannot have incentive-based compensation plans that encourage inappropriate risks by providing a covered person¹ with excessive compensation, fees, or benefits, or that could lead to material financial loss for the institution. An incentive-based compensation arrangement encourages inappropriate risks that could lead to material financial loss for the institution unless the arrangement (1) appropriately balances risk and reward; (2) is compatible with effective risk management and controls; and (3) is supported by effective governance. "Excessive" means amounts paid are unreasonable or disproportionate to the value of the services performed by a covered person, taking into consideration all relevant factors such as: combined value of all compensation, fees, or benefits provided to the covered person; the compensation history of the covered person and other individuals with comparable expertise; the financial condition of the institution; etc.		
Performance measures	Must appropriately balance risk and reward.		
Risk management and	The Board of Directors must conduct oversight, approve incentive-based compensation		
controls	arrangements for senior executive officers and approve material exceptions or adjustments to		
Controls	incentive-based compensation for senior executive officers.		
Deferral requirement for	Yes Yes No		
	165	165	110
qualifying incentive-based			
compensation awards:		0.1	77/4
Minimum deferral amount	Senior executive officers: at	Senior executive officers: at	N/A
	least 60%	least 50%	
	Significant risk takers: at least	Significant risk takers: at least	
	50%	40%	
Minimum deferral period	At least 4 years (or at least 2	At least 3 years (or at least 1	N/A
	years for incentive-based	year for incentive-based	,
	compensation awarded under a	compensation awarded under a	
	long-term incentive plan ²)	long-term incentive plan)	
	Tions term incentive plan j	Tong term meentive plan)	

¹ Covered person means any executive officer, employee, or director who receives incentive-based compensation. ² "Long-term incentive plan" is defined as a plan that is based on a performance period of at least 3 years.

Vesting during deferral period	Pro rata: may not vest faster than on a pro rata annual basis beginning no earlier than the first anniversary of the end of the performance period for which the amounts were awarded. Acceleration: no acceleration of vesting except in the case of (1) death or disability of the covered person; or (2) the payment of income taxes that become due on deferred amounts before the covered person is vested in the deferred amount. Any accelerated vesting must be deducted from the scheduled deferred amounts proportionally to the deferral schedule.		N/A
Adjustments of deferred qualifying incentive-based compensation and deferred long-term incentive plan compensation amounts	Not allowed.	Not allowed.	N/A
Forfeiture requirements?	Yes, must place at risk of forfeiture all unvested deferred incentive-based compensation of any senior executive officer or significant risk-taker, including unvested deferred amounts awarded under long-term incentive plans.		No
Downward adjustment requirements?	Yes, must place at risk of downward adjustment all of a senior executive officer's or significant risk-taker's incentive-based compensation amounts not yet awarded for the current performance period, including amounts payable under long-term incentive plans.		No
Forfeiture and downward adjustment triggering event:	Must consider forfeiture and downward adjustment due to any of the following adverse outcomes at the institution: (i) poor financial performance attributable to a significant deviation from the institution's policy risk parameters; (ii) inappropriate risk taking, regardless of financial performance; (iii) material risk management or control failures; (iv) non-compliance with statutory, regulatory, or supervisory standards that results in enforcement or legal action by a federal or state regulator or agency or a requirement that the institution report a restatement of a financial statement to correct a material error; and (v) other aspects of conduct or poor performance.		N/A

Determining forfeiture or	At a minimum, must consider the following factors: (i) intent of	N/A
downward adjustment	the senior executive officer or significant risk-taker to operate	
amounts:	outside the risk governance framework approved by the Board of	
	Directors or to depart from the institution's policies and	
	procedures; (ii) the senior executive officer's or significant risk-	
	taker's level of participation in, awareness of, and responsibility	
	for, the events triggering the forfeiture and downward	
	adjustment review; (iii) any actions the senior executive officer or	
	significant risk-taker took or could have taken to prevent the	
	events triggering the forfeiture and downward adjustment	
	review; (iv) the financial and reputational impact of the events	
	triggering the forfeiture and downward adjustment review	
	including the magnitude of any financial loss and the cost of	
	known or potential subsequent fines, settlements, and litigation;	
	(v) the causes of the events triggering the forfeiture and	
	downward adjustment review including any decision-making by	
	other individuals; and (vi) any other relevant information,	
	including past behavior and past risk outcomes attributable to	
	the senior executive officer or significant risk-taker.	
Clawback requirements?	Yes, must include clawback provisions in incentive-based	No
	compensation arrangements for senior executive officers and	
	significant risk-takers that, at a minimum, allow the institution to	
	recover incentive-based compensation from a current or former	
	senior executive officer or significant risk-taker for seven years	
	following the date on which such compensation vests, if the	
	institution determines that the senior executive officer or	
	significant risk-taker engaged in: (i) misconduct that resulted in	
	significant financial or reputational harm to the institution;	
	(ii) fraud; or (iii) intentional misrepresentation of information	
	used to determine the senior executive officer or significant	
TT 1 ' 1'1'.' O	risk-taker's incentive-based compensation.	
Hedging prohibitions?	Yes, must not purchase a hedging instrument or similar	No
	instrument on behalf of a covered person to hedge or offset	
	any decrease in the value of the covered person's	
	incentive-based compensation.	

Maximum incentive-based compensation requirement?	Yes, must not award a senior executive officer in excess of 125% of the target amount for that incentive-based compensation; or a significant risk-taker in excess of 150% of the target amount for	No
*	that incentive-based compensation.	
Performance measure requirements?	Yes, incentive-based compensation cannot be based (1) solely on industry peer performance comparisons; or (2) solely on transaction revenue or volume without regard to transaction quality or compliance of the covered person with sound risk management.	No
Risk management control requirements?	Yes, must employ a risk framework for incentive-based compensation that: (1) is independent of any lines of business; (2) includes an independent compliance program that provides for internal controls, testing, monitoring, and training with written policies and procedures; and (3) is commensurate with the size and complexity of the institution's operations.	Risk management control requirements?
Policy and procedure requirements?	Yes, must develop and implement policies and procedures that: (1) are consistent with the requirements of this rule; (2) specify the substantive and procedural criteria for the application of forfeiture and clawback, including the process for determining the amount of incentive-based compensation to be clawed back; (3) require that the institution maintain documentation of final forfeiture, downward adjustment, and clawback decisions; (4) specify the substantive and procedural criteria for the acceleration of payments of deferred incentive-based compensation to a covered person; (5) identify and describe the role of any employees, committees, or groups authorized to make incentive-based compensation decisions, including when discretion is authorized; (6) describe how discretion is expected to be exercised to appropriately balance risk and reward; (7) require that the institution maintain documentation of the establishment, implementation, modification, and monitoring of incentive-based compensation arrangements, sufficient to support the institution's decisions; (8) describe how incentive-based compensation arrangements will be monitored; (9) specify the substantive and procedural requirements of the independent compliance program; and (10) ensure appropriate roles for risk management, risk oversight, and other control.	No

	function personnel in the institution's processes for	r designing		
	incentive-based compensation arrangements and			
	awards, deferral amounts, deferral periods, forfeit			
	adjustment, clawback and vesting, and assessing the effectiveness			
	of incentive-based compensation arrangements in	restraining		
	inappropriate risk-taking.			
Governance requirements?	Yes, must establish a compensation committee composed solely		No	
	of directors who are not senior executive officers to			
	Board of Directors in carrying out its responsibiliti			
	compensation committee must obtain: (1) input fr			
	audit committees of the Board of Directors, or grow	ups performing		
	similar functions, and risk management function of			
	effectiveness of risk measures and adjustments use			
	risk and reward in incentive-based compensation			
	(2) a written assessment of the effectiveness of the institution's			
	incentive-based compensation program and related compliance			
	and control processes in providing risk-taking incentives that are			
	consistent with the risk profile of the institution, submitted on an			
	annual or more frequent basis by management and developed			
	with input from the risk and audit committees of its Board of			
	Directors, or groups performing similar functions, and from the institution's risk management and audit functions; and (a) an			
		institution's risk management and audit functions; and (3) an independent written assessment of the effectiveness of the		
	institution's incentive-based compensation program and related			
	compliance and control processes in providing risk-taking			
	incentives that are consistent with the institution's risk profile,			
	submitted on an annual or more frequent basis by the internal			
	audit or risk management function of the institution, developed			
Companylysoning	independently of management.	at laast same	and no conde that do assessment that	
General recordkeeping	Must create annually and maintain for a period of at least seven years records that document the structure of all its incentive-based compensation arrangements.			
4 1 10.0	_		1 1 1 6 1 1 1 1	
Additional disclosure and	Annually create and maintain documentation for		the applicable federal regulator	
record keeping	seven years:		Level 3 with average total	
	- List of senior executive officers and		sets greater than or equal to \$10	
	significant risk-takers by job function,		than \$50 billion to comply with	
	organizational hierarchy and line		ne Level 1 and Level 2 record-	
	of business;	keeping provisi	ons if it is determined that the	

	 Incentive-based compensation arrangements for senior executive officers and significant risk-takers, including information on percentage of incentive- based compensation deferred and form of award; Any forfeiture and downward adjustment or clawback reviews and decisions for senior executive officers and significant risk-takers; and Any material changes to incentive-based compensation arrangements and policies. 	Level 3's complexity of operations or compensation practices are consistent with those of a Level 1 or Level 2. Factors considered in making this determination include the activities, complexity of operations, risk profile, and compensation practices of the Level 3, in addition to any other relevant factors.
Compliance date	Within 540 days after the date that the institution qualifies as a Level 1. Will continue to be a Level 1 until average total assets drops below \$250 billion for four consecutive quarters.	

The deadline for submitting comments is July 22, 2016.

Authors

Oliver Ireland (202) 778-1614 oireland@mofo.com Anna Pinedo (212) 468-8179 apinedo@mofo.com Ze'-ev Eiger (212) 468-8222 zeiger@mofo.com Alexandra Perry (212) 336-4261 aperry@mofo.com

About Morrison & Foerster

We are Morrison & Foerster—a global firm of exceptional credentials. Our clients include some of the largest financial institutions, investment banks, Fortune 100, technology and life sciences companies. We've been included on *The American Lawyer*'s A-List for 12 straight years, and *Fortune* named us one of the "100 Best Companies to Work For." Our lawyers are committed to achieving innovative and business-minded results for our clients while preserving the differences that make us stronger. This is MoFo. Visit us at www.mofo.com. © 2016 Morrison & Foerster LLP. All rights reserved. For more updates, follow Thinkingcapmarkets, our Twitter feed: www.twitter.com/Thinkingcapmkts.

Because of the generality of this update, the information provided herein may not be applicable in all situations and should not be acted upon without specific legal advice based on particular situations.